Appendix E: Safety and Security Checklist

Project Overview	H 11 H 1 C 2 T 2 C 21			
Project Name	Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor			
Project mode (Rail, Bus, BRT, Multimode)	Rail			
Project phase (Preliminary Engineering, Design,	PE			
Construction, or Start-up)				
Project Delivery Method (Design/Build,	DB and DBB			
Design/Build/Operate Maintain, CMGC, etc				
Project Plans	Version	Review by FTA	Status	
Safety and Security Management Plan	1.0		Update due Feb-10	
Safety and Security Certification Plan			Submittal due Mar-10	
System Safety Program Plan			Submittal date TBD	
System Security Plan or Security and Emergency			Submittal date TBD	
Preparedness Plan (SSEPP)				
Construction Safety and Security Plan				
Safety and Security Authority	Y/N		Status	
Is the grantee subject to 49 CFR Part 659 state safety	Y			
oversight requirements?				
Has the state designated an oversight agency as per Part			Establishment of	
659.9			SSOA is pending	
Has the oversight agency reviewed and approved the			Establishment of	
grantee's SSPP as per Part 659.17?			SSOA is pending	
Has the oversight agency reviewed and approved the			Establishment of	
grantee's Security Plan or SEPP as per Part 659.21?			SSOA is pending	
Did the oversight agency participate in the last Quarterly			Establishment of	
Program Review Meeting?			SSOA is pending	
Has the grantee submitted its safety certification plan to the			Establishment of	
oversight agency?			SSOA is pending	
Has the grantee implemented security directives issues by			Pending	
the Department Homeland Security, Transportation Security				
Administration?				
SSMP Monitoring				
Is the SSMP project-specific, clearly demonstrating the			Pending review of	
scope of safety and security activities for this project?			updated plan	
Grantee reviews the SSMP and related project plans to			Pending review of	
determine if updates are necessary?			updated plan	
Does the grantee implement a process through which the			Pending review of	
Designated Function (DF) for Safety and DF for Security			updated plan	
are integrated into the overall project management team?			and acceptant forces.	
Please specify.				
Does the grantee maintain a regularly scheduled report on			Pending review of	
the status of safety and security activities?			updated plan	
Has the grantee established staffing requirements,			Pending review of	
procedures and authority for safety and security activities			updated plan	
throughout all project phases?			•	
Does the grantee update the safety and security			Pending review of	
responsibility matrix/organization chart as necessary?	4.0		updated plan	
Has the grantee allocated sufficient resources to oversee or			Pending review of	
carry out safety and security activities?			updated plan	
Has the grantee developed hazard and vulnerability analysis			Pending review of	
techniques, including specific types of analysis to be			updated plan	
performed during different project phases?				

City and County of Honolulu Monthly Report February 2010 (FINAL)

Does the grantee implement regularly scheduled meetings to	Pending review of	
track to resolution any identified hazards and/or	updated plan	
Vulnerabilities?  Does the grantee monitor the progress of safety and security	Pending review of	
activities throughout all project phases? Please describe	updated plan	
briefly.	apatica pian	
Does the grantee ensure the conduct of preliminary hazard	Pending review of	
and vulnerability analyses? Please specify analyses	updated plan	
conducted.		
Has the grantee ensured the development of safety design	Pending review of	
criteria?	updated plan	
Has the grantee ensured the development of security design	Pending review of	
criteria?	updated plan	
Has the grantee verified conformance with the safety and	Pending review of	
ecurity requirements in the design?	updated plan	
Has the grantee identified conformance with safety and	Pending review of	
ecurity requirements in equipment and materials	updated plan	
procurement?		
Has the grantee verified construction specification	Pending review of	
conformance?	updated plan	
Has the grantee identified safety and security critical tests to	Pending review of	
be performed prior to passenger operations?	updated plan	
Has the grantee verified conformance with safety and	Pending review of	
security requirements during testing, inspection and start up	updated plan	
phases?	Danding review of	
Does the grantee evaluated change orders, design waivers, or test variances for potential hazards and/or vulnerabilities?	Pending review of updated plan	
Has the grantee ensured the performance of safety and	Pending review of	
security analyses for proposed work-arounds?	updated plan	
Has the grantee demonstrated through meetings or other	Pending review of	
methods, the integration of safety and security in the	updated plan	
Following:	apantea pian	
Activation Plan and Procedures		
Integrated Test Plan and Procedures		
Operations and Maintenance Plan		
Emergency Operations Plan		
Has the grantee issued final safety and security	Pending review of	
certification?	updated plan	
Has the grantee issued the final safety and security	Pending review of	
verification report?	updated plan	
Construction Safety and Security	100 100 000 000 1 100 000 000 000 000 0	
Does the grantee have a documented/implementation	Submittal pending	
Contractor Safety Program with which it expects contractors	1	
o comply?		
Does the grantee's contractor(s) have a documented	Submittal pending	
company-wide safety and security program plan?	1 3	
Does the grantee's contractor(s) have a site-specific safety	Submittal pending	
and security program plan?		
Provide the grantee's OSHA statistics compared to the	Submittal pending	
national average for the same type of work?		
f the comparison is not favorable, what actions are being	Submittal pending	
aken by the grantee to improve its safety record?		
Does the grantee conduct site audits of the contractor's	Submittal pending	
performance versus required safety/security procedures?		
Federal Railroad Administration		

City and County of Honolulu Monthly Report February 2010 (FINAL)

If the shared track: has the grantee submitted its waiver	NA	
request application to FRA? (Please identify any specific		
regulations for which waivers are being requested)		
If the shared corridor: has grantee specified specific	NA	
measures to address shared corridor safety concerns?		
Is the Collision Hazard Analysis underway?	NA	
Other FRA required Hazard Analysis – fencing, etc?	NA	
Does the project have Quiet Zones?	NA	
Does FRA attend Quarterly Review Meetings?	NA	